ANNEX C

81 MM, 82 MM & 120 MM MEDIUM AND HEAVY MORTARS
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This study examines the characteristics, use and effects of mortars. It is part of a series of technical studies on explosive weapons undertaken by the GICHD, providing evidence and contributing to the analysis of the final report on Characterisation of Explosive Weapons (GICHD, 2017).

Mortars are generally smoothbore, muzzle-loading, indirect fire guns. The weapon usually consists of three components; barrel (‘tube’; including a sighting mechanism), baseplate and the bipod.

Conventional mortars do not have recoil mechanisms, with the main recoil force being transmitted directly to the ground via the baseplate. The baseplate of a mortar stabilises the system, and helps prevent the barrel from being driven into the ground by the recoil of firing. The bipod serves to help stabilise the mortar, and acts as a simple sighting arrangement. There is generally a thread that allows the barrel to be moved either left or right to adjust for azimuth, and a screw adjuster that allows the angle of firing to be moved up or down, so as to adjust for range. The barrel of the mortar is a metal tube, with a fixed firing pin.

In addition to these three components, modern mortars may also employ a fire-control system (FCS) to help improve accuracy, set-up times, and speed up the rate of fire. Mortars are relatively simple weapon systems that can be locally manufactured using fairly basic metalworking skills (Jenzen-Jones, 2015).
Most mortars are restricted in elevation, and are only capable of firing at high-angle trajectories (above 45°), meaning that they cannot be used in the direct fire support role (Jenzen-Jones, 2015). Mortar projectiles often impact the target at a very steep angle, making mortars ideal weapons for firing over, into or out of defilade. Sometimes referred to as ‘the poor man’s artillery’, they are simple to manufacture and operate, rugged, portable, cheap, and versatile, although generally less accurate than artillery (Dullum et al., 2016).

Mortars have a number of different designs in various calibres; this report highlights some of the most commonly encountered varieties of conventionally designed medium and heavy mortars – specifically 81 mm and 82 mm medium mortars, and 120 mm heavy mortars. Mortars are generally one of the most responsive of artillery weapons, capable of engaging targets quickly and at shorter ranges than many artillery guns or rocket systems. Generally speaking, medium mortars can fire at ranges of 100 m to 5500 m, while heavy mortars have a range of some 500 m to 7,000 m (Gander & Hogg, 1993; Isby, 1988).

Mortars are found in the inventories of almost all state armed forces, and a majority of larger non-state armed groups. They are comparatively easy to operate and are employed with frequency in current and recent conflict zones. A single 120 mm mortar projectile was fired into a market in the attack on 5 February 1994 in Sarajevo, killing 68 and injuring approximately 144 civilians (Fish, 2004). These casualty figures are unusually high considering single mortar effects. However, in populated areas people gather in large numbers in relatively small and often semi-enclosed areas such as markets. This brings them within the effective range of the projectile, explaining the terrible outcome in this example.
MEDIUM AND HEAVY MORTARS

Mortars fall into three main groups:

- Light mortars (51-60 mm);
- Medium mortars (61-99 mm); and
- Heavy mortars\(^1\) (100-120 mm+).

Light mortars can be carried by a single soldier, and are found at the company level of some infantry units. These mortars can be carried and operated by a single man, with other soldiers typically carrying additional ammunition. This report is concerned with medium and heavy mortars of 81, 82, and 120 mm in calibre.

- 81 mm HE mortar projectiles generally contain anywhere between 400 g and 680 g of HE and have a maximum range of approximately 6,500 m.
- 82 mm HE mortar projectiles generally contain anywhere between 392 g and 680 g of HE and have maximum ranges of between 3,000 – 5,500 m.
- 120 mm HE mortar projectiles generally contain anywhere between 1,270 g and 4,200 g of HE and have maximum ranges of between 7,200 – 9,500 m.

Rocket-assisted mortar projectiles may extend these ranges, but are not widely encountered (ARES, 2016). Limited numbers of rocket-assisted projectiles were employed in Syria in 2015 and 2016.

NATO member states, as well as countries supplied by western manufacturers, tend to use the 81 mm calibre medium mortar. Former Warsaw Pact members, and countries supplied by former Warsaw Pact states and China, tend to use the 82 mm calibre medium mortar. 82 mm mortar projectiles are nominally 1 mm larger in diameter than the 81 mm mortar projectiles. 81 mm mortar projectiles tend to be longer and more aerodynamically efficient than the 82 mm versions, and commonly have a longer range.

Whilst 100, 160, and 240 mm mortars are also employed in conflict zones, 120 mm calibre mortars are by far the most common heavy mortars in service globally. Both smoothbore and rifled 120 mm mortars are in common usage. Much like rifled firearms, rifled mortar barrels impart spin to projectiles as they move up the barrel, which can increase accuracy. The most notable rifled 120 mm mortar is the French-designed MO-120 RT-61, which is currently in service with at least 24 different armed forces in the world, including the US Marine Corps (General Dynamics, 2007).

\(^1\) Some observers consider mortars of more than 160 or 240 mm in calibre to be ‘super-heavy’ mortars.
120 mm smoothbore mortars have maximum ranges of approximately 7,200 m to 9,500 m, when firing conventional HE mortar projectiles. Rocket-assisted mortar projectiles are also available, and these projectiles extend the range of the system. 120 mm rifled mortars have a maximum range of approximately 8.2 km, but this can be increased to 13 km with rocket-assisted projectiles (TDA, n.d.). The rifled mortars can also fire smoothbore mortar projectiles, in which case the maximum ranges for the ammunition would be less than that for conventional smoothbore mortars, as more of the propellant gases would escape past the body of the projectile without imparting any energy to it.

The range of any given mortar’s projectiles can be adjusted using two methods. Firstly, altering the angle of the mortar barrel will affect how far the projectile will be able to travel. A higher angle will result in a shorter range, and a lower angle will have the opposite effect. Additionally, many mortar projectiles may be fired with an adjustable propellant load. An increased amount of propellant will result in an increased range. Mortars may be initiated by a fixed or, more commonly, removable ignition cartridge (sometimes referred to as a propelling cartridge), which features a pyrotechnic primer. In addition to this, incremental propellant charges, sometimes known as augmenting, auxiliary, or supplemental charges, can generally be fitted to a mortar projectile.
There are a large number of different models of HE mortar projectiles in circulation and use, many of which have seen little change in design since the Second World War. The vast majority of HE mortar projectiles produced contain a primary high explosive fill of either TNT, or a mixture of RDX and TNT.

- 81 mm HE Mortar Ammunition
- 82 mm HE Mortar Ammunition
- 120 mm HE Mortar Ammunition
- 120 mm M49 (FRY)
- 120 mm OF-843 (Russia)
- 2,680 g TNT
- 120 mm PRPA (France)
- 2,700 g RDX/TNT (HE Rocket-assisted, rifled)
- 120 mm Type 843 (China)
- 1,270 g TNT
- 120 mm XM1101 (USA)

The typical mortar projectile is widest at the tail, and just behind the shoulder of the body. It is at the shoulder where the shape of the projectile is designed to prevent the gases produced by the propelling charge from escaping past the body of the projectile, and reducing its range. The most common way of stopping the escape of the gases is to use a series of gas check bands. Some mortar projectiles use a hard nylon obturating ring or obturator band, instead of gas check bands.

Figure 3. Arrangement of a typical mortar projectile (source: U.S. Department of the Army, 2007).
The maximum range of a mortar projectile is directly affected by the model of mortar it is fired from, as well as the number of incremental propellant charges. It is often the case in conflicts involving irregular forces that the munition being fired is not specifically designed for the model of mortar in question (ARES, 2016). The length of the mortar barrel has the most significant effect on the range of the projectiles fired, with longer barrels tending to allow for longer ranges. While the munition should nominally match the calibre of the mortar, there may be limitations on the amount of propellant that a given mortar can accept without damage. Generally speaking, newer projectiles fired from more modern mortars have greater ranges than the older versions.
23 cases were studied where mortars were involved. 6 case studies were selected for this report on the basis of the confirmed use of these weapons, the accuracy of documentation, and to geographical distribution of conflicts across the weapon studies. These cover the period from February 1994 to August 2015, and the following countries and territories: Former Republic of Yugoslavia, Libya, Syria, Afghanistan and Yemen.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DEATHS</th>
<th>INJURIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sarajevo, FRY</td>
<td>5-Feb-1994</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Approx 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sarajevo, FRY</td>
<td>28-Aug-1995</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Zawiya, Libya</td>
<td>16-Apr-2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Damascus, Syria</td>
<td>28-Mar-2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sangin, Afghanistan</td>
<td>31-Dec-2014</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ta’iz, Yemen</td>
<td>11-Aug-2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS**

**CASE STUDY No 1**

Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 05 February 1994

At 12:15, a single 120 mm high explosive mortar projectile detonated in the crowded Markale marketplace in Sarajevo. 68 people were killed and approximately 144 people were injured. It is probable that the high buildings around the marketplace reflected the shockwaves into the crowd, thereby increasing the lethality of the munition.
CASE STUDY No 2

Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 28 August 1996
At approximately 11:00, five high explosive mortar projectiles of indeterminate calibre or calibres detonated in the same Markale marketplace as the 1994 attack. 42 people were killed and an additional 85 people were injured in this incident.

CASE STUDY No 3

Zawiya, Libya, 16 April 2011
At approximately 17:30, a single 81 mm high explosive mortar projectile struck the Zawiyat el-Mahjoub medical clinic. Although nobody was killed in the incident, 4 people were injured and an ambulance and 3 private vehicles were damaged.

CASE STUDY No 4

Damascus, Syria, 28 March 2013
At approximately 13:45, an unknown number of high explosive mortar projectiles struck the refectory of the College of Architecture at Damascus University. The incident killed 15 people and injured 20 more. The majority of those killed and injured were students. Major damage was caused to the refectory.

CASE STUDY No 5

Sangin, Afghanistan, 31 December, 2014
An unknown number of mortar projectiles hit a wedding party that was being held on New Year’s Eve 2014. These killed 20 people and injured another 40.

CASE STUDY No 6

Ta’iz, Yemen 11 August, 2015
Some time between 21:00-22:00, a high explosive mortar projectile hit a house in the Al-Shamasi Neighbourhood. One person died from fragmentation wounds. The house and a car were damaged in the incident. This is one in a series of mortar attacks on residential areas in Ta’iz.
CASE STUDY 01

DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
5 February 1994, 12:15.

LOCATION
Markale, Sarajevo, Former Republic of Yugoslavia (now Bosnia and Herzegovina).

MAP OF THE AREA

![Map of Markale, Sarajevo](source: Google Maps).

WEAPON SYSTEM
120 mm mortar.

NUMBER OF MORTAR PROJECTILES FIRED
One.

RANGE MORTAR FIRED FROM
Approximately 6.2 km from the village of Mrkovici, although the exact origin could not be determined.

CASUALTIES
68 people killed, and approximately 144 people injured.
REMARKS
The UN Protection Force (UNPROFOR) conducted investigations into the attack and carried out a crater analysis and assessments of the fragmentation pattern on the ground, and tailfin depth. The results enabled the identification of the type of the mortar projectile, the direction of fire, and angle of the attack. The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia concluded that the projectile had been fired from Bosnian Serb Army positions and sentenced a BSA General to life imprisonment for his part in this attack, and others.

SOURCES
1. Conflict in Southeastern Europe at the End of the Twentieth Century: A “Scholars’ Initiative” Assesses Some of the Controversies (Association for the Study of Nationalities) 1st Edition, by Thomas Emmert (Editor), Charles Ingrao (Editor)
3. Shelling of the Markale Market in Sarajevo 5th February 1994 Review of Methods Used to Predict the Impact Velocity of the Mortar projectile Dr. DF Allsop, Defence Academy of the UK, Cranfield University
6. BBC: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/3459965.stm
7. Project Gutenberg: http://www.gutenberg.us/articles/markale_massacre

VIDEOS (accessed 28 March 2013)
1. YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NutaoCMvt3Q
2. YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=plisitCQmRU
3. Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/ICTYMKSJ/videos/1178819528800682
02

DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
28 August 1995, 11:00.

LOCATION
Markale, Sarajevo, Former Republic of Yugoslavia (now Bosnia and Herzegovina).

MAP OF THE AREA

![Map of Markale, Sarajevo](image)

Figure 6 A map of Markale, Sarajevo (source: Google Maps).

WEAPON SYSTEM
120 mm mortar.

NUMBER OF MORTAR PROJECTILES FIRED
Five.

RANGE MORTAR FIRED FROM
Lukavica area at a range of between 3,000 and 5,000 m (UN Protection Force Investigations). The first UNPROFOR investigation concluded that the direction of fire was 170 degrees, which means that the mortars were located in the vicinity of Mount Trebevic.

CASUALTIES
There were 127 civilian casualties; 43 of these died and 84 were injured. Twenty-three died immediately and 104 were injured, of whom 76 were transported to the Koševno University Hospital. Eight of these patients died in the hospital reception area immediately upon arrival. Twenty-eight casualties were taken to the State hospital; two of them died following reception. Another five died at the Koševno Hospital after surgery, and four died of complications a week later. Eighty-four casualties survived.
The main characteristics of these injuries were major destruction of tissue, massive haemorrhage, and haemorrhagic shock. Twenty-three citizens died on the spot in this massacre, and ten during admission. Head and brain injuries were the most common injuries in patients who died immediately after admission or on the operating table immediately after triage.

REMARKS
Detailed reports of injuries were possible due to the fact that the attack was a major one, and was documented well enough by doctors writing in medical journals for them to improve their own response to emergency situations.

SOURCES
1. Conflict in Southeastern Europe at the End of the Twentieth Century: A “Scholars’ Initiative” Assesses Some of the Controversies (Association for the Study of Nationalities) 1st Edition, by Thomas Emmert (Editor), Charles Ingrao (Editor)
5. BBC: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/3459965.stm
6. Project Gutenberg: http://www.gutenberg.us/articles/markale_massacre
CASE STUDY 02

VIDEOS (accessed 28 March 2013)

1. YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NuTaoCMvt3Q
2. YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=plisiICQmRU
4. Facebook: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ToSSJrWU78
CASE STUDY

DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
16 April 2011, 17:30.

LOCATION
Zawiyat el-Mahjoub medical clinic, Zawiyia, Libya.

MAP OF THE AREA

Figure 7: A map of Zawiyia, Libya (source: Google Earth).

WEAPON SYSTEM
81 mm mortar.

NUMBER OF MORTAR SHELLS FIRED
One 81 mm high explosive mortar round (as determined by Human Rights Watch, based on remnants and impact marks).

RANGE MORTAR FIRED FROM
Unable to identify. Nearest fighting happening at the time of the attack was one kilometre away.

CASUALTIES
4 people injured. One suffered a broken arm, one had fragment wounds to his chest and right leg, and one victim had fragment wounds to the left side of his back, his left calf, right ankle, and right hand.

DAMAGE
Fragments from the mortar hit the clinic. Damaged one ambulance and three cars.
REMARKS
This attack in a populated area also damaged a medical clinic. HRW was unable to identify which direction the mortar projectile came from.

SOURCE

DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
28 March 2013, 13:45.

LOCATION
College of Architecture refectory, Damascus University, Syria.

MAP OF THE AREA

![Map of the area](source: Google Maps)

WEAPON SYSTEM
Reported mortar attack, unspecified calibre.

NUMBER OF MORTAR PROJECTILES FIRED
More than one, but reports were not more specific than that.

CASUALTIES
Estimated death toll of 15 people, with 20 injured. Video reports show two wounded; one with fragment wounds in the back, and one woman with a bandaged head.

INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGE
Minor damage to buildings around the street, but major damage to canteen area.
PHOTOS OF THE DAMAGE

Photo 6. A Photo of the College of Architecture canteen area in the aftermath of the attack, Damascus University, Syria (Photo credit: Reuters).

REMARKS
The attack was made on the university, which is located in a district that also contains government buildings. The ministry of defence, the headquarters of the state media and President Bashar Al-Assad’s residence are all in the vicinity. At the time of this attack, mortars were a new element in the conflict, and it has been difficult to establish which party was firing them.

SOURCES
1. Huffington Post: http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/03/28/damascus-university-attack_n_2970499.html
2. Reuters: http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/03/28/us-syria-crisis-students-idUSBRE92R0E20130328#GQIBk601YY679BGU.97
CASE STUDY 04

dead-and-wounded-in-damascus-university-Mortar-attack_13982
13. International Business Times: http://www.ibtimes.co.uk/
damascus-syria-university-Mortar-451430

VIDEOS (accessed 28 March 2013)

1. YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NutaoCMvt3Q
DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
31 December 2014.

LOCATION
Sangin, Helmand Province, Afghanistan.

MAP OF THE AREA

![Map of Sangin, Helmand Province, Afghanistan](source: Google Maps)

WEAPON SYSTEM
Unspecified.

NUMBER OF MORTAR PROJECTILES FIRED
Unknown.

RANGE MORTAR FIRED FROM
Fired from three directions at the town of Sangin, but the exact firing points were not established.

CASUALTIES
Death toll of 26 people, and approximately 41 injured, including women and children. The survivors had injuries to limbs and burns. Most of the dead and injured came from a wedding party that was being held in the village.
PHOTOS OF THE DAMAGE

DAMAGE
Residential house damaged.

REMARKS
Sangin is in a volatile area of the Helmand valley, and since the reduction in U.S.-led international forces, it has been the stage for fighting between government forces and Taliban fighters.

SOURCES

VIDEO (accessed 28 March 2013)

Photo 7 A young casualty from the attack (image credit: AFP/Getty Images).
CASE STUDY

DATE/TIME OF ATTACK
11 August 2015, between 21:00 and 22:00.

LOCATION
Al-Shamasi Neighbourhood, Ta‘iz, Yemen.

MAP OF THE AREA

Figure 10. A map of the Al-Shamasi Neighbourhood, Ta‘iz, Yemen (source: Human Rights Watch).

WEAPON SYSTEM
Mortar, unspecified calibre.

NUMBER OF MORTAR PROJECTILES FIRED
One.

RANGE MORTAR FIRED FROM
Unknown.

CASUALTIES
One person killed from fragmentation wounds.

INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGE
Residence and car.
REMARKS
This is one of a series of attacks in Yemen in which mortar rounds were used against the civilian population with no military targets in the vicinity.

SOURCES
## ADDITIONAL CASE STUDIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE STUDY</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/6177356.stm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Erez crossing, Gaza</td>
<td>15-Jul-2014</td>
<td><a href="http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jul/16/israel-warns-100000-gazans-to-flee-live-updates#block-53c6cb7de4b0d70555a360d1">www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jul/16/israel-warns-100000-gazans-to-flee-live-updates#block-53c6cb7de4b0d70555a360d1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>time.com/3043282/israel-gaza-school/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Eshkol, Israel</td>
<td>1-Aug-2014</td>
<td>ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4552636,00.html</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>uacrisis.org/29827-ukrainian-oleksandr-motuzyanik-bojovik-zastosovuyut-122-mm-artilleriyu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>archive.defense.gov/news/newsarticle.aspx?id=31301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Jizan, KSA</td>
<td>19-Sep-2015</td>
<td>observerbd.com/2015/09/19/111511.php</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>strategypage.com/htmw/htart/articles/20051011.aspx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bigstory.ap.org/article/072f6ce7/976d4503bdeb691b0526ad34/iraq-officials-Mortar-attack-diyala-province-kills-35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ADDITIONAL CASE STUDIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CASE STUDY</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>SOURCES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Popasna and Bohuslavsk, Ukraine</td>
<td>11-Oct-2015</td>
<td>unian.info/war/1178745-terrorists-launch-more-attacks-overnight-in-donbas-use-banned-120mm-Mortars.html</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bbc.com/news/world-africa-34622897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>